SURVEY OF ADULTS RECEIVING DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES IN VERMONT SPRING 2014

Consumer Survey Project

Report Prepared August 2014

For

The Developmental Disabilities Services Division Vermont Department of Disabilities, Aging and Independent Living 103 South Main Street Waterbury, VT 05671-1601 This Report describes findings from a survey of adults receiving developmental disabilities services across Vermont. The survey was conducted by members of the Vermont Consumer Survey Project in the spring of 2014. The coordinating members of the Vermont Consumer Survey Project are:

June Bascom, M.Ed. - Project Director Rosemary Drabing, M.S.W. - Survey administration and data entry. Janet Bramley, Ph.D. - Data analysis and report preparation.

For questions about this report or requests for further copies, please contact:

June Bascom
The Developmental Disabilities Services Division
Vermont Department of Disabilities, Aging and Independent Living
103 South Main Street
Waterbury, VT 05671-1601

Tel: 802 871 3065 www.DAIL.vermont.gov

FOREWORD

We at the Developmental Disabilities Services Division are committed to quality improvement. We continually monitor, and seek ways we can improve, the developmental disabilities services provided here in Vermont. A critical component of that quality improvement effort is input from the people we serve. Our first statewide in-depth consumer surveys took place in 1995 using a questionnaire originally developed by Drs Susan Culbert and Sara Burchard from the Psychology Department at the University of Vermont. Over time, this questionnaire has been revised and enhanced on the basis of findings from the surveys and in consultation with our consumer advocates. The information from the surveys has not only helped us to develop a profile of the people we serve, but to better understand what people feel is important to their quality of life and how we can provide the best support possible.

This report contains findings from the first year in the sixth 3-year cycle of surveys. The demographic portion of the survey has undergone some minor modifications for this cycle of surveys in order to better track, in particular, further health and healthcare issues. The satisfaction information collected and analyzed for this report is the same as for the previous cycle of surveys completed during the period 2011-2013.

Each year, the participants include consumers from a subset of the publicly-funded agencies in Vermont that provide developmental disabilities services. This subset is selected to be representative of the whole state in any given year. So that you can see how opinion has changed over time, this report also includes comparisons with the statewide responses from 2011, the last time that people from the same subset of agencies was surveyed.

We hope you find this both informative and helpful in planning and evaluating services provided for people with developmental disabilities.

Camille George, Director DAIL/Developmental Disabilities Services Division

CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD	i
CONTENTS	ii
SECTION 1: OVERVIEW	
INTRODUCTION	3
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	4
SECTION 2: CONSUMER SURVEY 2014	
CONSUMER RESPONSE HIGHLIGHTS	9
DOMAIN SATISFACTION	12
SURVEY RESPONSES 2014: STATEWIDE	14
SECTION 3: PARTICIPANT PROFILE 2014	
BASIC DEMOGRAPHICS	41
COMMUNICATION CAPABILITY	43
MEDICAL/HEALTH ISSUES	44
RESIDENTIAL INFORMATION	
COMMUNITY ACCESS AND BARRIERS	49
SERVICES	50
SECTION 4: SURVEY METHODOLOGY	
PARTICIPANT SELECTION	53
THE SURVEY INSTRUMENTS	54
SURVEY ADMINISTRATION	
SURVEY ANALYSIS	56

SECTION 1

OVERVIEW

INTRODUCTION

The spring of 2014 marked the first year in the sixth 3-year cycle of satisfaction surveys of adults with developmental disabilities served by the State of Vermont Developmental Disabilities Services Division. The agencies surveyed in 2014 were: Champlain Community Services (CCS), HowardCenter (HC), Northwestern Counseling and Support Services (NCSS), and Upper Valley Services (UVS); adults from across the state who have self- or family-managed services also received personal invitations to participate. As in the past, respondent selection is designed to be representative both within each agency and across the statewide population of developmental disabilities service recipients. The agencies surveyed this year are the same as those visited in 2011, the equivalent year in the previous cycle of surveys. The statewide report compares the responses from this year's interviews to consumer responses from the 2011 surveys to give a fair reflection of overall change over time. Likewise, individual agency reports contain comparisons with the responses from that particular agency in 2011.

The evolution of the demographic and satisfaction surveys from their original form in 1995 reflects the Division's commitment to continuous quality improvement. Over the years, both surveys have undergone changes that help the Division to better understand and respond to the current needs and wishes of people receiving developmental disabilities services. In 2001, demographic information collection was expanded and satisfaction survey questions on self-determination were added based on input from self-advocates. The 2004 survey featured extra questions on communication skills and services and substantial changes and additions to the survey report presentation format. In 2008, based on input from a range of stakeholders, questions on access and barriers to community activities were added to the demographic survey and some satisfaction questions were rephrased for easier understanding. For the current survey cycle, there are some revisions to the demographic survey, particularly relating to health and healthcare. The format of the satisfaction questions remains the same. As before, the reports consist of four major Sections:

- Overview: This Section provides a brief stand-alone summary of findings for spring 2014. It gives a basic description of the interviews, a brief profile of the respondents, and how they rate their satisfaction in a range of life domains. For comparison purposes, information about respondents participating in the 2011 survey is also included.
- Consumer Survey 2014 What People Had To Say. This Section provides more detail on how people responded to individual questions on the survey. It first gives comparison information from the 2011 and 2014 surveys on selected questions within the life domains. Further detail on domain scores is then given. The final part of this Section includes the full set of responses to the 2014 survey questions.
- Participant Profile 2014 Who Participated. This Section reports on findings from the demographics survey to provide a clear picture of the consumer population served. Each table includes numbers for those interviewed and those not interviewed.
- Methodology How This Survey Is Conducted. This Section describes procedures for collecting survey information and how that information is analyzed for reporting purposes.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS: STATE OF VERMONT 2014

In the spring of 2014, the independently contracted survey team visited four agencies; Champlain Community Services (CCS), HowardCenter (HC), Northwestern Counseling and Support Services (NCSS), and Upper Valley Services (UVS); adults from across the state who have self- or family-managed services were also invited to participate. 50% of those at the larger designated agencies (HC, NCSS and UVS) and 100% of those served by CCS and those with self- or family-managed services were invited to be interviewed. Interview duration times were shorter this year, an average of 31 minutes 2014 compared to 37 minutes in 2011. As can be seen from Table 1.1, the response rates for 2014 varied considerably across the participant groups. The response rate across the designated agencies was 45% in 2014. However, as in 2011, the lack of responses from the people with self- or family-managed services had the effect of depressing the overall response rate statewide by 5%. Nevertheless, the statewide rate in 2014 was somewhat lower than the rate for 2011 (in parentheses).

Table 1.1 – Participants and Types of Interviews Conducted (N=558)

	CCS	НС	NCSS	UVS	Self Managed	Total 2014	(2011)
Interviewed							
Full interview	44%	40%	46%	42%	1%	37%	41%
Picture only	8%	1%	5%	3%	0%	3%	5%
Total interviewed	52%	41%	51%	45%	1%	40%	46%
Not interviewed							
Agency decision	16%	19%	21%	18%	13%	18%	18%
Guardian's decision	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	1%	3%
Unable to complete	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	<1%	<1%
No Show/Person's choice	18%	28%	15%	21%	51%	26%	19%
Person out of town	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Person incapacitated	8%	8%	6%	13%	7%	8%	8%
Scheduling oversight	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Other	4%	2%	0%	2%	26%	5%	3%
Total not interviewed	48%	59%	49%	55%	99%	60%	54%
Total Participants	50	239	122	77	70	558	449

In order to be able to draw valid comparisons between findings at the state and local level it is important that the profile of those giving their opinions about life satisfaction is broadly the same. Table 1.2 compares some of the main demographic characteristics of the people surveyed in 2011 and 2014.

Table 1.2 - Brief Profile of Consumers Interviewed

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
	(N=205)	(N=220)
Age		
Average Age	43	42
Minimum Age	20	19
Maximum Age	89	89
Gender		
Male	56%	58%
Female	44%	42%
Intellectual Disability (ID)		
Mild ID	74%	63%
Moderate ID	16%	18%
Severe ID	2%	3%
Profound ID	0%	1%
Unknown/None Reported	8%	15%
Living Situation		
Shared Home (home of paid provider)	48%	48%
Family Home	26%	27%
Person's Own Home	20%	21%
Group Living	1%	2%
Other/Unknown	5%	2%
Services Received		
Service Coordination	99%	100%
Residential Supports*	55%	50%
Community Supports	68%	70%
Employment	47%	48%
Family Supports - Respite/FFF	49%	47%

^{*} In Shared Home, Group Living, or Staffed Living

The group profiles of those interviewed in 2014 and those interviewed in 2011 are remarkably similar which would suggest that direct comparisons in the analysis of responses to satisfaction questions are appropriate.

The analysis of responses to the consumer survey involves grouping responses into scales reflecting a series of life satisfaction domains (Table 1.3). The domains examined remain the same as for the 2011-2013 cycle of surveys and the domain ratings are directly comparable. (Caution should be taken when comparing current satisfaction ratings in the Activities

domain with ratings from survey cycles prior to 2008; certain response options and hence potential scores were changed starting in 2008).

In general, the 2014 satisfaction ratings are similar to those from 2011. Overall, there has been an upward trend in satisfaction scores since 2011 with seven of ten domains receiving higher ratings. Noticeably higher scores (3% or more) were given for Residential Autonomy, Neighborhood, Activities and Self/Determination Rights and a much lower score for Guardian satisfaction. These increases might suggest that the respondents this year felt more empowered.

Table 1.3 – Comparative Satisfaction by Domain

Domain	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
	(N=205)	(N=220)
Residential	89%	90%
Residential Autonomy	72%	77%
Neighborhood	92%	95%
Work	80%	80%
Community Supports	80%	82%
Social Support	85%	85%
Activities	74%	78%
Guardian	76%	70%
Community Services	85%	86%
Self Determination/Rights	48%	51%

SECTION 2

CONSUMER SURVEY 2014 WHAT PEOPLE HAD TO SAY

This Section focuses on how people responded to the consumer survey in 2014. The method used for collecting survey data is designed to obtain feedback that is broadly representative of consumer opinion statewide each year. In each of the years since 2001, this has been done by interviewing a random selection of consumers from a sampling of agencies based on agency size and regional representation. The agencies visited in 2014 are the same as those visited in 2011, the equivalent year in the previous survey cycle. The selected highlights below include statewide responses from the 2011 survey for comparison over time. A brief profile of the adults who were interviewed is given in Section 1 and full demographic information on all survey participants for 2014 is available in Section 3.

CONSUMER RESPONSE HIGHLIGHTS

Each of the tables below highlights responses that illustrate trends in a given satisfaction domain. For comparison purposes, the tables include the responses of all people interviewed statewide in 2011 and 2014. The columns show the percentage of respondents whose response agreed with each statement. For full details of how the participants responded to the full set of survey questions in 2014, see page 14.

Table 2.1 – Residential

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
I like where I live	89%	90%
I cannot think of anywhere better to live	76%	74%
I'm happy about how I spend my free time	84%	83%
I'm happy with the chores I do	93%	91%
I feel safe at home	96%	96%
 I almost always have a way to get to places 	81%	86%

Table 2.2 – Residential Autonomy

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
I had a say in where I live	38%	48%
I choose what I wear	92%	87%
I can change the rules at my house	49%	46%
I can invite friends/family over when I want	89%	90%
I have privacy when friends/family visit	65%	67%
I have a say in how I spend my money	95%	91%
I can stay home alone if I want to when others go out	61%	69%

Table 2.3 – Neighbors/neighborhood

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
 Neighbors are friendly 	91%	91%
 I like the neighborhood 	90%	93%
 I feel safe in the neighborhood 	94%	94%

Table 2.4 – Work

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
I chose my job	87%	82%
I like my job	91%	97%
I work enough hours at my job	55%	54%
The people I work with respect me	97%	98%
I chose my job coach	31%	13%
There's nothing I'd rather do during the day	88%	91%

Table 2.5 – Community Supports (Individual)

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
 I like my (individual) community activities 	93%	97%
 I have enough (individual) community activities 	71%	59%
 I like the people I spend time with 	92%	99%
 I chose my support person 	25%	32%
• There's nothing I'd rather do during the day	90%	96%

Table 2.6 - Friends/Social Supports

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
I have plenty of friends	66%	63%
I can see my friends when I want	87%	80%
I have opportunities to meet new people	82%	76%
• I have friends I like to talk/be with (not staff or family)	85%	81%
I'm not often lonely	57%	56%
I see my family as much as I want	91%	89%

Table 2.7 – Activities*

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
• I shop as much as I want	55%	64%
• I exercise/play sport as much as I want	57%	56%
• I eat out as much as I want	58%	68%
• I go to entertainment as much as I want	54%	62%
• I go to church/synagogue as much as I want	63%	64%

^{*} Includes those who take part in the activity as much as they want and those who choose not to do so. Note that response options for Activities satisfaction were expanded for the surveys from 2008 onward.

Table 2.8 - Guardianship

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
 I'm happy about my guardian 	91%	89%
I chose my guardian	15%	20%
I see my guardian whenever I want	90%	89%
My guardian lets me make my own decisions	35%	35%

Table 2.9 - Community Services

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
 I'm happy with my service agency 	88%	90%
• I chose my case manager	23%	22%
 I'm happy with my case manager 	91%	94%
 It's easy to contact my case manager 	72%	73%
 People listen to me at ISA meetings 	89%	93%

Table 2.10 - Self Determination/Rights

	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
 I have attended a self advocacy meeting 	45%	49%
 I have voted in an election 	59%	61%
 I get to learn new things/skills 	82%	72%
 I have enough control over my life 	78%	81%
 I make all the choices I want 	85%	87%

DOMAIN SATISFACTION

In order to obtain a better overall picture of what people who receive our services think, the responses to survey questions are grouped to reflect a range of life satisfaction domains. The derivation of the domains examined remains the same as in the last survey cycle. Thus, the domain ratings for 2014 are directly comparable to those of 2011. The questions used to analyze each domain are listed in Section 4.

In the first instance, domain satisfaction was examined across everyone who was interviewed in 2014 and 2011. Table 2.11 below shows increased satisfaction scores in seven of the ten domains. There was significantly increased satisfaction in comparison with the 2011 survey results in Residential Autonomy and scores increased also by 3% or more in the Neighborhood, Activities and Self/Determination Rights domains. Increases in these domains might suggest that the respondents this year felt more empowered. Only Guardian satisfaction had decreased. This continuing upward trend in satisfaction scores (also noted the last 3-year survey cycle) is encouraging and may indicate that publicly funded developmental disabilities services are contributing to the general feelings of wellbeing amongst the people receiving them.

Table 2.11 - Comparative Domain Satisfaction

Domain	Statewide 2011	Statewide 2014
	(N=205)	(N=220)
Residential	89%	90%
Residential Autonomy	72%	77%
Neighborhood	92%	95%
Work	80%	80%
Community Supports	80%	82%
Social Support	85%	85%
Activities	74%	78%
Guardian	76%	70%
Community Services	85%	86%
Self Determination/Rights	48%	51%

When further analyses of domain satisfaction by respondent characteristics were completed, significant differences were found both by residential type and by legal status. First, domain satisfaction was examined by the types of home setting (Table 2.12a). People living semi-independently rated their Residential Autonomy significantly higher than those in other home types. People in family homes gave significantly higher ratings in the Residential Autonomy domain than their peers in shared homes, and significantly higher ratings than those living semi-independently for their Neighborhood. No statistically significant differences by residential type were found in the other domains. Examination of satisfaction by legal status (Table 12b) showed that those with a private guardian rated their Residential significantly higher than those who have a publicly appointed guardian. Legally independent respondents were significantly more satisfied with their Residential Autonomy than those with private or publicly appointed guardians. Both legally independent people and those with

a private guardian rated their Social Supports significantly higher than those who have a publicly appointed guardian. No other statistically significant differences by legal status were found in the other domains.

Table 2.12a - Domain Satisfaction by Residential Type – 2014

Domain	Family Home (n=60)	Semi-Independent Living (n=46)	Shared Home (n=105)	All Residential Settings (n=220)
Residential	93%	88%	90%	90%
* Residential Autonomy	74%	93%	72%	77%
**Neighborhood	97%	92%	95%	95%
Work	82%	77%	81%	80%
Community Supports	85%	82%	81%	82%
Social Support	88%	83%	83%	85%
Activities	82%	79%	75%	78%
Guardian	72%	74%	67%	70%
Community Services	88%	85%	86%	86%
Self Determination/Rights	51%	54%	49%	51%

^{*}People living semi-independently rated Residential Autonomy higher (p<.05) than those in other home types

Table 2.12b - Domain Satisfaction by Legal Status – 2014

Domain	Independent No Guardian	Private Guardian	Public Guardian	All Respondents
	(n=87)	(n=100)	(n=33)	(n=220)
*Residential	89%	92%	86%	90%
** Residential Autonomy	83%	73%	71%	77%
Neighborhood	94%	95%	93%	95%
Work	80%	81%	78%	80%
Community Supports	80%	84%	81%	82%
***Social Support	85%	86%	76%	84%
Activities	80%	78%	72%	78%
Guardian	-	69%	68%	69%
Community Services	85%	88%	85%	86%
Self Determination/Rights	52%	51%	47%	51%

^{*}People with private guardians rated Residential satisfaction higher (p<.05) than those with public guardians

^{*}People living with their families rated Residential Autonomy higher (p<.05) than those in shared homes

^{**}People living with their families rated their Neighborhood higher (p<.05) than those living semi-independently

^{**}People with no guardian rated Residential Autonomy higher (p<.05) than those with private or public guardians

^{***}People with private or no guardians rated their Social Supports higher (p<.05) than those with public guardians

SURVEY RESPONSES: STATEWIDE 2014 (N=220)

The following pages provide details of how people across the participating agencies responded to individual questions on the Consumer Survey in the spring of 2014. The percentages given in the results below are the percentages of those consumers who answered the question. The notation '-' indicates there were too few responses to be meaningful and 'NR' indicates that no-one answered this question.

RESIDENTIAL

1. Do you like where you live? Who do you live with?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
220	90%	5%	5%

2. Who chose (picked) the place where you live? (Did somebody help you make this choice?)

RESPONDENTS	SELF	SELF WITH HELP	SOMEONE ELSE
172	11%	37%	52%

3. Can you think of a place you would rather live?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
203	74%	26%

4. Tell me how you feel about the food at your house.

RESPONDENTS	LIKE	IN-BETWEEN	NOT LIKE
216	98%	1%	1%

5. Who usually decides (picks) what you eat?

RESPONDENTS	SELF	SOMEONE ELSE, I LIKE IT	SOMEONE ELSE, I DON'T LIKE IT
197	97%	2%	1%

6. Who usually decides (picks) what you wear?

RESPONDENTS	SELF	SOMEONE ELSE, I LIKE IT	SOMEONE ELSE, I DON'T LIKE IT
198	87%	11%	2%

7. Who decides when you do things each day (like when to get up, when to eat dinner, what to watch on TV, when to go to bed?)

RESPONDENTS	SELF	SELF WITH HELP	SOMEONE ELSE
191	90%	7%	3%

8. Are there any pets at your house?

RESPONSES	YES	NO
205	78%	22%

9. (If has pet) Do you like having a pet?

RESPONSES	LIKE	IN-BETWEEN	NOT LIKE
153	96%	1%	3%

10. (If has no pet) Do you want to have a pet? If "YES", what kind?

RESPONSES	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
53	55%	6%	39%

11. (For persons with paid helper(s) in home) Are/is _____ nice and polite to you?

RESPONSES	POLITE	SOMETIMES	NOT POLITE
105	91%	7%	2%

12. Tell me how you feel about _____.

RESPONSES	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
112	88%	9%	3%

13. Did you choose (pick) who helps you at home? (Did you get to interview/hire them? Did you get to meet other people or was someone assigned to you?)

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
6	67%	0%	33%

14. Have you had a lot of different people support you there?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
5	0%	100%

14a. Is that a problem for you?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
6	83%	17%

15. (For persons living with unpaid others) Are/is the other people/person nice and polite to you?

RESPONDENTS	POLITE	SOMETIMES	NOT POLITE
152	90%	9%	1%

16. Tell me how you feel about _____.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
165	95%	4%	1%

17. Did you choose (pick) the people you live with? (Did anyone ask who you'd like to live with? Were you given choices? Did you get to interview people?)

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
18	17%	55%	28%

18. (For persons living semi-independently with no roommate) Do you like living by yourself?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
28	79%	21%	0%

19. Would you like to have someone live with you?

RESPONDENTS	NO	IN-BETWEEN	YES
28	68%	11%	21%

THINGS TO DO:

What do you do for fun when you are at home? (*Not during community or work supports.*)

20. Who decides how you spend you free time? (Who decides what you do for fun? Who chooses the activities you do?

RESPONDENTS	SELF	SELF WITH HELP	SOMEONE ELSE
194	87%	10%	3%

21. Do you have enough to do when you're at home or do you sit around with nothing to do?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	IN-BETWEEN	NOTHING
205	82%	13%	5%

22. Are you bored when you are at home, or is it fun to be home?

RESPONDENTS	FUN	IN-BETWEEN	BORED
204	70%	22%	8%

23. Tell me how you feel about how you spend your free time at home.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
219	83%	14%	3%

JOBS/CHORES:

24. Are you told what jobs to do or do you get to pick the jobs/chores you want to do?

RESPONDENTS	PICK	IN-BETWEEN	TOLD
193	73%	8%	19%

25. Would you like to have more jobs/chores to do?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
195	79%	2%	19%

26. Tell me how you feel about the jobs/chores you do around your house.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
210	91%	7%	2%

RULES:

27. Who makes the rules for living here?

RESPONDENTS	SELF	IN-BETWEEN	SOMEONE ELSE
95	4%	8%	88%

28. Tell me what you think about the rules at your house.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
107	82%	9%	9%

29. What happens if you don't like a rule? Can you change a rule? (like when you have to go to bed etc.)?

RESPONDENTS	CHANGE	IN-BETWEEN	CAN'T CHANGE
85	32%	14%	54%

30. What if you want to drink a beer or some wine in your house? Is there no drinking allowed there (at home) or can you have a beer or wine there (at home) if you want?

RESPONDENTS	HAVE A DRINK	IN-BETWEEN	NOT ALLOWED
123	74%	0%	26%

31. Can you invite your friends or your family over to your house whenever you want to?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
199	90%	2%	8%

32. Who decides when you can have friends/family over to visit?

RESPONDENTS	SELF	IN-BETWEEN	OTHER
192	41%	16%	43%

PRIVACY:

33. Can you be alone if you want to? (Do you have privacy?)

RESPONDENTS	YES ENOUGH	WANT MORE TIME ALONE
168	80%	20%

34. (If people get mail) Do people read your mail or email asking you first?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
194	92%	3%	5%

35. Can you use the telephone when you want to?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO/SOME RESTRICTIONS
185	94%	6%

36. Can you talk on the phone in private or do other people listen in?

RESPONDENTS	HAVE PRIVACY	LISTEN IN
174	96%	4%

37. Can you answer the phone at home?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
186	81%	19%

38. (If friends come over to visit?) Can you be alone with them, or does someone have to be with you?

RESPONDENTS	HAVE PRIVACY	NOT ALONE
137	67%	33%

39. Do you have a boyfriend/girlfriend? What is his/her first name?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
193	34%	66%

40. (If no) Do you want to have a boyfriend/girlfriend?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
123	54%	46%

41. (If yes) Can you see (boyfriend/girlfriend) as much as you want?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
62	73%	27%

42. (If yes)Can you be with (boyfriend/girlfriend) alone in your bedroom

RESPONDENTS	YES, ALLOWED	SOMETIMES	NOT ALLOWED
60	50%	2%	48%

43. Would you like to know more about dating? (Having a boyfriend/girlfriend?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
186	61%	0%	39%

44. Do you have someone to talk to about dating? (Having a boyfriend/girlfriend?)

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
177	81%	19%

MONEY:

45. Can you have your own money whenever you want it or do you have to ask someone for your money?

RESPONDENTS	HAVE IT	ASK FOR IT
199	86%	14%

46. Tell me how you feel about (self or other) having your money.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
216	90%	7%	3%

47. Do you choose what to buy with your spending money (Did someone else decide how you spend your money?)

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOOSES
200	75%	22%	3%

48. Do people steal (take) your money?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
201	98%	2%

SAFETY:

49. Do people in your house take your things or do they leave your stuff alone?

RESPONDENTS	LEAVE ALONE	TAKE
168	95%	5%

50. Do people let you know before they come to your house? (Knock first/ask permission?)

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
200	95%	2%	3%

51. Do people let you know before coming into your bedroom? (Knock first/ask permission?)

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
143	86%	7%	7%

52. Do you feel safe in your home?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
204	96%	2%	2%

53. Are you ever afraid or scared when you are at home?

RESPONDENTS	NO RARELY	IN-BETWEEN	YES MOST OF TIME
202	80%	19%	1%

ACCESS/ TRANSPORTATION

54. When people in your house go somewhere, do you have to go or can you stay home alone if you want to?

RESPONDENTS	CAN STAY ALONE	IN-BETWEEN	HAVE TO GO
165	69%	1%	30%

55. Do you have a key to your house?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
198	59%	41%

56. When you want to go somewhere, do you have a way to get there? (Can you get a ride when you need one or is it hard to get a ride?)

RESPONDENTS	ALMOST ALWAYS	IN-BETWEEN	ALMOST NEVER
204	86%	11%	3%

57. Are there places that you need to go that you can't get to? (Such as to the doctor, the service agency, to go shopping, to the pharmacy, etc.)

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
199	66%	30%	4%

NEIGHBORHOOD:

58. Are your neighbors/people who live near you friendly toward you?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
191	91%	6%	3%

59. Tell me how you feel about your neighbors/people who live near you

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
214	87%	10%	3%

60. Do you like living in your neighborhood the area where you live or would you like to live in a different neighborhood/area?

RESPONDENTS	LIKE IT	IN-BETWEEN	DIFFERENT PLACE
203	93%	3%	4%

61. Do you feel safe to be out in your neighborhood/the area near your house?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
205	94%	5%	1%

62. Are you ever afraid or scared when you are at out in your neighborhood?

RESPONDENTS	NO RARELY	IN-BETWEEN	YES, MOST OF TIME
205	93%	6%	1%

WORK

63. Do you volunteer? Do something that you are <u>not</u> paid for that is helpful to others?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
202	24%	76%

64. Do you have a job?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
205	60%	40%

65. (if q65 = No) Do you want to have a paid job?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
82	33%	5%	62%

66. Did you choose to work at _____?

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
118	8%	74%	18%

67. Do you like working there?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
122	97%	1%	2%

68. Is the work you do important work or not important work?

RESPONDENTS	IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
121	97%	3%

69. Tell me how you feel about your work.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
125	92%	7%	1%

70. Do you work enough hours or do you want to work more hours?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	MORE
121	54%	46%

71. Tell me how you feel about the amount of money you make from work

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
125	90%	8%	2%

72. Do you have to work all the time or do you get a vacation from work?

RESPONDENTS	VACATION	ALL THE TIME
122	71%	29%

Do you have a job coach/someone/staff who helps you do your job?

73. Is _____ nice and polite to you?

RESPONDENTS	NICE	IN-BETWEEN	NOT NICE
89	99%	0%	1%

74. Did you choose ______ to help you at work?

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
80	6%	6%	88%

75. Have you had a lot of different people support you at work?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
87	41%	59%

75a. Is that a problem for you?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
51	84%	16%

76. Tell me how you feel about the other people (co-workers) you work with.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
123	95%	5%	0%

77. Do the people you work with treat you okay, or do they not respect you?

RESPONDENTS	RESPECT	IN-BETWEEN	NO RESPECT
120	98%	2%	0%

78. Do you choose to go to work, or do you have to go?

RESPONDENTS	CHOOSE TO GO	HAVE TO GO
119	60%	40%

78. Is there something else you would rather do during the day?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
119	91%	4%	5%

COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES - INDIVIDUAL SUPPORT

80. Did you choose (pick) your community activities?

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
137	42%	48%	10%

81. Do you like doing those activities?

RESPONDENTS	LIKE	SOMETIMES	NOT LIKE
139	97%	1%	2%

82. Do you get enough community activities or would you like more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	MORE
135	59%	41%

83. Tell me how you feel about your community activities.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
152	95%	4%	1%

84. Is (support person) nice and polite to you?

RESPONDENTS	NICE	IN-BETWEEN	NOT NICE
139	97%	2%	1%

85. Did you choose (or pick) _____ to help you with your community activities? (Did you get to interview/hire him/her? Did you choose or was he/she assigned to you?)

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
124	11%	20%	69%

86. Have you had a lot of different people support you during the day?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
133	53%	47%

86a. Is that a problem for you?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
61	75%	25%

87. Tell me how you feel about the people you spend time with during the day.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
150	99%	1%	0%

88. Do the people you spend time with during the day treat you okay, or do they not respect you?

RESPONDENTS	RESPECT	IN-BETWEEN	NO RESPECT
138	96%	4%	0%

89. Do you choose to go to your community activities, or do you have to go?

RESPONDENTS	CHOOSE	HAVE TO GO
129	74%	26%

90. Is there something else you would rather do during the day?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
133	96%	2%	2%

COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES - GROUP SUPPORT

91. Did you choose (pick) your community activities?

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
6	50%	50%	0%

92. Do you like doing those activities?

RESPONDENTS	YES	IN-BETWEEN	NO
8	100%	0%	0%

93. Do you get enough community activities or would you like more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	MORE
8	62%	38%

94. Tell me how you feel about your community activities.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
8	100%	0%	0%

95. Is (support person) nice and polite to you?

RESPONDENTS	NICE	IN-BETWEEN	NOT NICE
8	87%	13%	0%

96. Did you choose (or pick) _____ to help you with your community activities? (Did you get to interview/hire him/her? Did you choose or was he/she assigned to you?)

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
6	0%	33%	67%

97. Have you had a lot of different people support you during the day?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
6	50%	50%

97a. Is that a problem for you?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
3	33%	67%

98. Tell me how you feel about the people you spend time with during the day.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
7	86%	14%	0%

99. Do the people you spend time with during the day treat you okay, or do they not respect you?

RESPONDENTS	RESPECT	IN-BETWEEN	NO RESPECT
7	100%	0%	0%

100. Do you choose to go to your community activities, or do you have to go?

RESPONDENTS	CHOOSE	HAVE TO GO
7	57%	43%

101. Is there something else you would rather do during the day?

RESPONDENTS	NO	SOMETIMES	YES
8	75%	12%	13%

FRIENDS and SOCIAL SUPPORT

FRIENDS:

102. Do you have a best friend or someone you are really close to? (Is there someone you can talk to about personal things?)

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
200	90%	10%

103. Do you have friends you like to talk to or do things with?

RESPONDENTS	YES NOT STAFF OR FAMILY	ALL ARE STAFF OR FAMILY	NO FRIENDS
196	81%	15%	4%

104. Tell me how feel about your friends.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
207	96%	2%	2%

105. Can you see your friends when you want to see them? (Can you make plans with your friends when you want to?)

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
189	80%	18%	2%

106. Do you have plenty of friends or do you wish you had more friends?

RESPONDENTS	PLENTY	MORE
191	63%	37%

107. Do you have opportunities to meet new people?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
202	76%	24%

108. Do you have someone you trust to talk to when you need to talk to someone?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
200	93%	7%

109. Does anyone ever ask you to help them?

RESPONDENTS	SOMEONE NAMED	NO ONE NAMED
194	68%	32%

110. Do you ever feel lonely? (Does that happen a lot?)

RESPONDENTS	NO NOT OFTEN	SOMETIMES	OFTEN LONELY
203	56%	34%	10%

FAMILY:

111. (For those with family they can name) Do you ever get to see your family?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
178	93%	7%

112. Can you see your (named) family when you want to?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
170	89%	8%	3%

113. Tell me how you feel about these (named) family members.

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
183	89%	10%	1%

ACTIVITIES

What do you do for fun? Can you tell me some things you do for fun?

114. Do you ever go shopping?

114a. (If q114=Yes) Do you go to shop as much as you want or do you want to go more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
196	64%	35%	1%

114b. (If q114=No) Do you ever want to go shopping?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
4	50%	50%

115. Do you ever run errands or go to appointments?

115a. (If q115=Yes) Do you run errands or go to appointments as much as you want or do you want to go more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
195	80%	19%	1%

115b. (If q115=No) Do you ever want to go on errands/appointments?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
7	86%	14%

116. Do you ever exercise or play sports?

116a. (If q116=Yes) Do you exercise/play sports as much as you want or do you want to do more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
195	56%	44%	0%

116b. (If q116=No) Do you ever want to exercise/play sports?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
5	20%	80%

117. Do you ever go out to entertainment?

117a. (If q117=Yes) Do you go out to entertainment as much as you want or do you want more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
178	66%	34%	0%

117b. (If q117=No) Do you ever want to go out to entertainment?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
23	39%	61%

118. Do you ever go out to eat?

118a. (If q118=Yes) Do you go out to eat as much as you want or do you want to go more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
195	68%	32%	0%

118b. (If q118=No) Do you ever want to go out to eat?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
5	40%	60%

119. Do you ever go to church or synagogue?

119a. (If q119=Yes) Do you go to church or synagogue as much as you want or do you want to go more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
77	61%	39%	0%

119b. (If q119=No) Do you ever want to go to church or synagogue?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
120	68%	32%

120. Do you ever go to other community meetings?

120a. (If q120=Yes) Do you go to other community meetings as much as you want or do you want to go more?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	WANT MORE	RATHER NOT GO
42	62%	38%	0%

120b. (If q120=No) Do you ever want to other community meetings?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
157	62%	38%

GUARDIANSHIP

121. Do you know who your guardian is? (Persons with guardianship)

RESPONDENTS	YES	MAYBE	NOT SURE
112	97%	1%	2%

122. Do you get to see or talk to your guardian when you want to?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
110	89%	6%	5%

123. Did you get to choose (pick) your guardian, or did someone else choose your guardian?

RESPONDENTS	I CHOSE	SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
108	20%	80%

124. Tell me how you feel about (guardian).

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
125	89%	9%	2%

125. Does your guardian let you make some decisions for yourself or does she/he make decisions for you?

RESPONDENTS	OWN DECISIONS	GUARDIAN DECIDES
108	35%	65%

126. Do you want to change who your guardian is? (Have a different guardian/Not have a guardian?)

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
109	88%	12%

SELF-ADVOCACY

127. Have you ever gone to a self-advocacy meeting, conference or event?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
199	49%	51%

128. (If q127=Yes) Why did you go to the self-advocacy meeting, conference, or event?

RESPONDENTS	INFORMATION	SOCIAL OPPORTUNITY	PART OF ADVOCACY MOVEMENT	OTHER
82	18%	34%	39%	9%

129. (If q127=No) Why haven't you gone or been able to go?

RESPONDENTS	NO TIME	NOT INTERESTED	NO RIDE	DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT IT	OTHER
63	13%	36%	3%	48%	0%

130a. (If q127=Yes) Do you want to go (go again) to a self-advocacy meeting, conference or event?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
96	63%	37%

130b. (If q127=No) Do you want to go to a self-advocacy meeting, conference or event?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
96	47%	53%

131. Do you see yourself as being a self-advocate?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
198	90%	10%

132. Do you want to know more about self-advocacy?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
193	57%	43%

VOTING

133. Has anyone ever talked to you about voting in elections?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
195	72%	28%

134. Have you ever voted in an election?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
196	61%	39%

135a. (If have voted) Do you want to vote in an election?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
118	91%	9%

135b. (If have not voted) Do you want to vote in an election?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
74	45%	55%

SERVICE AGENCY

136 Do you know your service coordinator/case manager?

RESPONDENTS	YES	MAYBE/NOT SURE	NO
200	99%	0%	1%

137. Does he/she ask you what you want?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
195	71%	12%	17%

138. If you ask for something, does he/she help you get you what you need?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
197	86%	8%	6%

139. Tell me how you feel about (your case manager).

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
214	94%	5%	1%

140. Did you choose (or pick) (your case manager)?

RESPONDENTS	YES WITHOUT HELP	YES WITH HELP	NO SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE
185	12%	10%	78%

141. Is it easy or is it hard to get in touch with (your case manager) when you want to?

RESPONDENTS	EASY	IN-BETWEEN	HARD
194	73%	12%	15%

142. Have you had a lot of different case managers (service coordinators)?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
191	52%	48%

142a. Is that a problem for you?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
89	70%	30%

143. Tell me how you feel about (your service agency).

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
211	90%	8%	2%

144. Do you get the services you need?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
198	90%	8%	2%

145. Did you have a support plan (ISA) meeting this year?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
196	93%	7%

146. When you have your support plan (ISA) meetings, do people listen to what you have to say?

RESPONDENTS	LISTEN	SOMETIMES	NOT LISTEN
181	93%	4%	3%

147. Are the things that are important to you in your support plan (ISA)?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
177	95%	2%	3%

148. Do you have a friend or family member that you count on to help you make decisions?

RESPONDENTS	YES	NO
193	94%	6%

149. (Waiver or flexible funding consumers only) Do you know how much money the agency has to pay for your worker(s)/services?

RESPONDENTS	YES	MAYBE/NOT SURE	NO
187	6%	2%	92%

150. Do people at (your agency) listen to you or not listen to you?

RESPONDENTS	LISTEN	SOMETIMES	NOT LISTEN
195	85%	11%	4%

151. Are you having trouble getting the help you need from people at (<u>your agency</u>) or are you getting the help you need?

RESPONDENTS	GETTING HELP	SOMETIMES	HAVING TROUBLE
193	90%	7%	3%

152. Is there someone at (<u>your agency</u>) you can ask to help you change services or supports you do not like?

RESPONDENTS	SOMEONE NAMED	SOMEONE NOT NAMED	NO-ONE
173	98%	1%	1%

153. Do people help you do or learn new things?

RESPONDENTS	YES	SOMETIMES	NO
192	72%	8%	20%

153a. (if 153= No or Sometimes) Do you want [more] help to do or learn new things?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
54	35%	65%

154. Tell me how you feel about the help you get from (your agency).

RESPONDENTS	HAPPY	IN-BETWEEN	SAD
211	90%	9%	1%

155. Have you ever been told about (<u>your agency's</u>) grievance (complaint) process or are you not aware of (<u>your agency's</u>) grievance (complaint) process?

RESPONDENTS	TOLD	NOT AWARE
194	33%	67%

156. Have you been told about (<u>your agency's</u>) appeal process or are you not aware of (<u>your agency's</u>) appeal process?

RESPONDENTS	TOLD	NOT AWARE
194	33%	67%

157. Have you ever made a formal grievance (complaint) or appeal to someone at your agency?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
194	98%	2%

SELF-DETERMINATION

158. Do you need to have more control over your life or do you think you have enough control?

RESPONDENTS	ENOUGH	MORE
193	80%	20%

159. Are there decisions (choices) you wish you could make that you don't make now?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES NOT NAMED	YES NAMED
193	87%	3%	10%

160. Do you need to know more about how to choose who helps you? (Do you need to learn how to interview and hire your support workers?

RESPONDENTS	NO	YES
190	56%	44%

State of Vermont: Consumer Survey 2014

SECTION 3

PARTICIPANT PROFILE 2014 WHO PARTICIPATED

This Section gives an overall picture of the adults receiving developmental disabilities services statewide. It reports findings from the 2014 Demographics Survey as follows:

- **Basic Demographics (Tables 3.1-3.3)** covers Personal Characteristics, Legal Factors, and Family Involvement.
- Communication Capability (Tables 3.4-3.6) covers Means of Communication, Communication Effectiveness, and Communication Supports.
- **Medical/Health Issues** (**Tables 3.7-3.10**) covers Intellectual Disability, Other Challenges, Healthcare and Health, and Lifestyle.
- **Residential Information (Tables 3.11-3.13)** covers Type of Residence, Residential Characteristics, and Guardianship by Residential Type.
- Community Access and Barriers (Tables 3.14-3.15) covers Getting to Places and Barriers to More Recreational Activity and Enjoyment.
- Services (Table 3.16) covers Type of Services Received

Each of the tables includes percentages for those interviewed, those not interviewed, and all participants. For ease of reading, the definition of the data reported (left hand column) and the data for all participants served statewide (right hand column) are shown in bold.

BASIC DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3.1 – Personal Characteristics

Characteristic	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Age			
Average Age	42	40	41
Minimum	19	19	19
Maximum	89	84	89
Gender			
Male	58%	64%	61%
Female	42%	36%	39%
Marital Status			
Single	89%	90%	90%
Married/Civil Union	4%	7%	6%
Married/Civil Union in past	7%	2%	4%
Unknown	0%	1%	<1%
Race			
White	96%	85%	89%
American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut	1%	<1%	1%
Black	0%	1%	1%
Asian	1%	1%	1%
Other/Unknown	2%	13%	8%
Speaks English			
Yes	100%	99%	99%
No	<1%	1%	1%

Table 3.2 – Legal Factors

	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Guardianship			
No Guardian	40%	29%	33%
Private Guardian	45%	56%	52%
Public Guardian	15%	13%	14%
Unknown	0%	2%	1%
Payee			
No Payee	20%	17%	18%
Payee	79%	66%	71%
Unknown	1%	17%	11%
Court Restrictions			
No	97%	96%	97%
Yes	2%	2%	2%
Unknown	1%	2%	1%

Table 3.3 - Family Involvement in People's Lives

	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Family Involved	(== == +)	(= 555)	(= 110)
Yes	90%	90%	90%
No	10%	9%	9%
Unknoown	0%	1%	1%
Why Family not Involved	(n=21)	(n=31)	(n=52)
Family gone/not alive/			
whereabouts unknown	38%	42%	40%
Family choice	43%	42%	42%
Other	5%	3%	4%
Unknown	14%	13%	14%

COMMUNICATION CAPABILITY

Table 3.4 – Means of Communication

	Interviewed	Not Interviewed	All Participants
	(n=220)	(n=338)	(n=558)
Adequate Reliable Speech			
Yes	84%	60%	69%
No	16%	39%	30%
Unknown	0%	1%	1%
Primary Means of Communication			
Spoken - Understood by familiars	95%	75%	83%
Gesture/body language	1%	15%	10%
Sign language/finger spelling	2%	3%	2%
Communication aid/device	1%	4%	3%
Other/Unknown	1%	3%	2%

Table 3.5 – Communication Effectiveness for People with Inadequate Speech

	Interviewed	Not Interviewed	All Participants
	(n=36)	(n=133)	(n=169)
Communicate with familiar people	, ,		,
Yes	100%	94%	95%
No	0%	5%	4%
Unknown	0%	1%	1%
Communicate with unfamiliar people			
Yes	80%	36%	46%
No	17%	59%	50%
Unknown	3%	5%	4%
Communicate beyond basic needs			
Yes	80%	36%	46%
No	17%	59%	50%
Unknown	3%	5%	4%
Communication effectiveness in last ye	ear		
More effective	33%	13%	17%
As effective	59%	69%	67%
Less effective	8%	7%	7%
Unknown	0%	11%	9%

Table 3.6 – Communication Supports for People with Inadequate Speech

	Interviewed (n=36)	Not Interviewed (n=133)	All Participants (n=169)
Communication addressed in ISA			
Yes	42%	48%	47%
No	58%	47%	50%
Unknown	0%	5%	3%
Communication Supports in Place			
Consistent comm. partners	94%	84%	86%
Team support	100%	95%	96%
Access to comm. aids/devices	53%	53%	53%
Training support for support wkers	53%	68%	64%
Expert consultation/support	22%	35%	32%

MEDICAL/HEALTH ISSUES

Table 3.7 - Intellectual Disability

Disability Level	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Mild ID	63%	46%	53%
Moderate ID	18%	17%	17%
Severe ID	3%	9%	7%
Profound ID	1%	9%	5%
Unknown/None reported	15%	19%	18%

Table 3.8 - Other Challenges

Challenge	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
None Reported	12%	13%	12%
Mental Illness	46%	34%	39%
Autism	20%	22%	21%
Cerebral Palsy	8%	11%	10%
Brain Injury	2%	4%	3%
Seizures	12%	22%	18%
Chemical Dependence	0%	1%	1%
Vision	12%	11%	11%
Hearing	10%	10%	10%
Physical Disability	8%	12%	10%
Communication Disorder	3%	9%	7%
Alzheimers Disease	3%	4%	4%
Down Syndrome	7%	11%	10%
Non-Ambulatory	1%	5%	3%
Other	9%	14%	12%

Table 3.9 – Healthcare

	Interviewed	Not Interviewed	All Participants
	(n=220)	(n=338)	(n=558)
Medical Care Required			
Less than once/month	89%	75%	81%
At least once/month	8%	14%	11%
At least once/week or more	3%	3%	3%
Unknown	0%	8%	5%
Last Physical Examination			
In the past year	87%	74%	79%
Over 1 year ago	12%	13%	13%
Unknown	1%	13%	8%
Last Ob/Gyn Examination (female)			
In the past year	56%	31%	42%
Over 1 year ago	20%	22%	21%
Never had an ob/gyn exam	11%	10%	10%
Unknown	13%	37%	27%
Last Dental Examination	·		
In the past six months	53%	29%	39%
6 - 12 months ago	29%	23%	25%
Over 1 year ago	9%	13%	12%
Unknown	9%	35%	24%

 ${\bf Table~3.10~-~Health~and~Lifestyle}$

	Interviewed	Not Interviewed	All Participants	
	(n=220)	(n=338)	(n=558)	
Overall Health	, ,			
Excellent or very good	36%	29%	32%	
Fairly good	59%	54%	56%	
Poor	5%	14%	10%	
Unknown	0%	3%	2%	
Physically Active				
Very physically active	20%	15%	17%	
Moderately physically active	67%	58%	62%	
Physically inactive	13%	25%	20%	
Unknown	0%	2%	1%	
Level of Mobility				
Moves without aids	87%	79%	82%	
Moves with aids or uses wheelchair	12%	16%	14%	
Non-ambulatory	1%	4%	3%	
Unknown	0%	1%	1%	
Vision				
Sees well (with/without correction)	87%	84%	85%	
Vision problems limit activities	11%	8%	9%	
Limited or no vision (legally blind)	2%	3%	3%	
Unknown	0%	5%	3%	
Hearing				
Hears well (with/without correction)	93%	85%	88%	
Hearing problems limit activities	5%	6%	6%	
Limited or no vision (deaf)	2%	4%	3%	
Unknown	0%	5%	3%	
Weight concerns				
No weight concerns	57%	58%	57%	
Owrweight	39%	28%	33%	
Underweight	4%	4%	4%	
Unknown	0%	10%	6%	
Smoke/chew tobacco				
Yes	11%	6%	9%	
No	88%	85%	86%	
Unk nown	1%	9%	5%	
Drink alcohol				
Yes, in excess	1%	1%	1%	
Yes, occasionally	19%	8%	12%	
No	75%	75%	75%	
Unk nown	5%	16%	12%	
Take Psych Medications				
Yes	56%	48%	51%	
No	44%	41%	42%	
Unknown	0%	11%	7%	

RESIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Table 3.11 - Type of Residence

Residential Type	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Shared Home	48%	33%	39%
Family Home	27%	40%	35%
Own Home	21%	22%	21%
Group Living	2%	3%	3%
Other/Unknown	2%	2%	2%

Table 3.12 – Respondent Guardianship by Residential Type

	No Guardian	Private Guardian	Public Guardian	Total
Residential Type	(n=87)	(n=100)	(n=33)	(n=220)
Shared Home	40%	42%	85%	48%
Family Home	21%	42%	0%	27%
Own Home	36%	11%	12%	21%
Group Living	0%	4%	3%	2%
Other/Unknown	3%	1%	0%	2%

Table 3.13 - Residence Characteristics

Residental Characteristic	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)		
Fime in current home/with current provider					
More than 5 years	50%	62%	58%		
3-5 years	18%	13%	15%		
1-2 years	18%	11%	14%		
Less than 1 year	14%	10%	11%		
Unknown	0%	4%	2%		
Location					
Remote	47%	45%	46%		
Walking distance to town	33%	34%	34%		
Centrally located in town	20%	19%	19%		
Unknown	0%	2%	1%		
Ownership/Lease of Home					
Family/guardian	28%	42%	37%		
Individual/family person lives with	47%	33%	38%		
Provider agency/affiliate	3%	4%	3%		
Person rents home	19%	17%	18%		
Person owns home	2%	2%	2%		
Other/Unknown	1%	2%	2%		
Household Composition					
Non-related individuals	51%	35%	41%		
Biological/adoptive parents	25%	37%	32%		
Lives alone	12%	13%	13%		
Spouse/Civil Union	3%	5%	4%		
Other relatives	9%	9%	9%		
Domestic partner	0%	1%	1%		
Amount of Paid Residential Support					
No on-site support (Indep./family)	26%	32%	29%		
24 hour on-site	44%	42%	43%		
Daily on-site	11%	9%	10%		
Less frequent than daily	12%	13%	13%		
As needed	7%	4%	5%		

COMMUNITY ACCESS AND BARRIERS

Table 3.14 – Getting to Places beyond Walking Distance

Means of Transport	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Ride from family/friends	62%	62%	62%
Ride in staff member's car	86%	73%	78%
Group transport (provider van)	5%	4%	4%
Public transport	24%	21%	22%
Para transit/volunteer/ride-share	5%	3%	4%
Taxi	10%	5%	7%
Drives self	5%	11%	9%
Other	2%	2%	2%

Table 3.15 – Barriers to More Recreational Activity and Enjoyment

Barrier to more activities	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
No barrier	66%	58%	61%
Behavioral/emotional concerns	8%	18%	14%
Social skills limitations	12%	18%	16%
Health concerns	4%	12%	9%
Money/cost	15%	11%	13%
Inadequate transportation	5%	7%	6%
No chaperone	8%	9%	9%
Recreational activity shortage	2%	6%	4%
Other barrier	3%	1%	2%

SERVICES

Table 3.16 - Types of Services Received

Service Type	Interviewed (n=220)	Not Interviewed (n=338)	All Participants (n=558)
Residential Supports*	50%	26%	42%
Service Coordination	100%	93%	96%
Employment - Individual	48%	31%	38%
Community Support - Individual	70%	58%	63%
Community Support - Group	15%	8%	11%
Clinical Services	55%	39%	45%
Transportation	43%	39%	41%
Family Supports	47%	52%	50%
Other Supports	7%	8%	8%

^{*} In Shared Home, Group Living, or Staffed Living

SECTION 4

METHODOLOGY HOW THIS SURVEY IS CONDUCTED

Since the inception of the statewide consumer surveys in 1995, the State Developmental Disabilities Services Division has taken steps to provide a forum for people receiving developmental disabilities services to express their own point of view while eliminating possible bias or influence. For this reason, the surveys have always been administered by an independently contracted team. Originally, this work was contracted to a team from the University of Vermont. Since 2003, survey administration and data entry has been coordinated by Rosemary Drabing, M.S.W. and Janet Bramley Ph.D. has been responsible for survey analysis and reporting. Survey responses remain confidential and personally identifying data are removed before the survey responses are analyzed.

The Vermont Consumer Survey is conducted statewide over a 3 year period, 2014 marking the first year of the sixth such 3-year cycle. Within each year, a sample of adults receiving developmental disabilities services is surveyed. This Section describes the methodology used to obtain and analyze information for the 2014 - 2016 Vermont Consumer Survey. The information in this Section covers:

- Participant Selection: How people are chosen to take part in the survey to obtain a fair representation of the adults receiving developmental disabilities services in the state of Vermont and their views in a variety of life satisfaction domains.
- The Survey Instruments: A brief description of the questionnaires used to obtain demographic and satisfaction information.
- **Survey Administration:** A description of how demographic information is collected and the participant interview procedure.
- **Survey Analysis and Reporting:** A description of the analytical procedures used to derive the findings presented in this report.

PARTICIPANT SELECTION

The selection of adults to participate in the consumer survey aims to be representative both within each agency and across the statewide population receiving developmental disabilities services in each year. Five agencies are chosen each year. The order in which agencies are visited is the same as for the 2011-2013 survey cycle. In the spring of 2014, the independently contracted survey interviewers visited the following agencies: Champlain Community Services (CCS), HowardCenter (HC), Northwestern Counseling and Support Services (NCSS), and Upper Valley Services (UVS); adults from across the state who have self- or family-managed services were also personally invited to participate These are the same agencies as those visited in 2011, the equivalent year in the previous cycle of surveys.

Participant selection is the first stage of the survey process. In the winter, a random sample based on agency size and regional representation is drawn from the list of people currently served. These are the potential survey participants for that year. Table 4.1 shows the percentage of individuals served by each agency who will be invited to participate and the order of agency visits in the 2014-2016 survey cycle.

Table 4.1 – Sample Size by Agency as a Percentage of Adults Served

Agency	2014	2015	2016
Champlain Community Services (CCS)	100%		
Counseling Service of Addison County - Community Associates (CSAC)		50%	
Health Care and Rehabilitation Services of Southeastern Vermont (HCRS)			50%
HowardCenter (HC)	50%		
Lamoille County Mental Health (LCMH)		100%	
Lincoln Street Incorporated (LSI)			100%
Northeast Kingdom Human Services (NKHS)		50%	
Northwestern Counseling and Support Services (NCSS)			
Rutland Mental Health Services - Community Access Program (RMHS)			50%
Specialized Community Care (SCC)			100%
Sterling Area Services (SAS)		100%	
Upper Valley Services (UVS)			
United Counseling Service (UCS)			50%
Washington County Mental Health Services - Community Developmental Services (WCMH)		50%	
Self-/Family-Management (T-II)	100%		

The Demographic Survey is used to collect information about all participants. The agency then makes a determination as to which people can also participate in satisfaction interviews. While it is recognized that not all participants are able or willing to be interviewed, the aim is to interview 60% of participants.

THE SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

Two survey instruments are used: the Demographic Survey and the Consumer Interview. These instruments were developed and extensively field-tested for reliability by Susan Culbert, Ph.D., and Sara Burchard, Ph.D. during the early 1990s. The format and questions had been reviewed and approved by a variety of stakeholders including self-advocates, family members, service providers, and representatives from the State Division of Developmental Disabilities Services, prior to the survey instruments' first statewide use in 1995.

Since the first 3-year cycle of surveys, a number of questions have been changed or added to the original survey instruments. For the second cycle of surveys, questions addressing knowledge of services and self-determination were added to the Consumer Interview in response to consumer advocacy requests. It was at this time also that the State started submitting survey data to the National Core Indicators (NCI) project. This multi-state

project provides an annual comparative 'report card' on services for adults receiving developmental disabilities services. For the 2004 – 2006 survey cycle, the Demographic Survey, in particular, was further enhanced. This was partly to include more on communication capabilities and services to inform the statewide communication initiative, and partly to align more closely with questions with the National Core Indicators (NCI) project to enable more cross state comparisons. Vermont ceased participation in the NCI project in 2008 due to budget constraints; plans to resume participation are in progress. The 2008 survey featured changes based on input from a range of stakeholders; information on access and barriers to community activities were added and a number of questions were rephrased for easier understanding. The Demographic Survey has undergone minor revisions and reordering of questions for the current survey cycle. The satisfaction survey remains unchanged.

The Demographic Survey is filled out by case managers at the participating agencies prior to the interviews with the people receiving services. The information gathered can be used in the first instance by the interviewers to inform and facilitate the interview process. It has also proved over the last decade to be valuable resource for obtaining a comprehensive ongoing picture of the population of adults receiving developmental disabilities services here in Vermont. The Demographic Survey consists of sections covering general demographics, communication capabilities and services, health and lifestyle information, residential characteristics, services, and behavioral support needs. The information from the Demographic Survey is reported in section 3 of this Report.

The structured Consumer Interview consists of 160 questions designed to measure how satisfied people receiving developmental disabilities services are in a variety of life domains: how they feel about their residential living, work and/or community activities, community services, and activities. It also obtains information on other valued outcomes, such as social support, neighbors, leisure activities, degree of independence, opportunities for growth and change, self-advocacy, and self-determination. Each question on the survey has a high value of 2 (positive response) and a low of 0 (negative response). The values for 'Not Applicable', 'Refused' or 'Unclear/unknown' are excluded from the analysis. On average, the direct interviews will take between 30 and 35 minutes to complete. For people who have difficulty with verbal communication, there is an abbreviated version of the Consumer Interview covering the same satisfaction domains, in which picture cards ('Happy', 'In-between' and 'Sad') are used for respondents to indicate their answers. The information from the Consumer Interview is reported in section 2 of this Report.

Copies of the survey instruments may be requested from the Developmental Disabilities Services Division.

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION

Rosemary Drabing, an independent contractor with extensive experience with the Vermont developmental disabilities services system, took over project coordination in 2003. In each year, field interviewers are hired and trained to complete the consumer interviews. Typically,

interviewers have included graduate students, teaching and human services professionals, and consumers of developmental disabilities services.

Once the participant pool has been selected (see page 54), the project coordinator meets with service coordinators at the participating agencies and distributes copies of the Demographic Survey to be filled out before the interviews. It is at this time that a determination will be made by the agencies as to which of the randomly selected adults can also participate in direct interviews. Arrangements are then made for the direct interviews to take place usually at agency offices.

Generally, the participants are interviewed alone at the agency offices to allow them to express their opinions freely. However, accommodations are made as necessary, for example, if the individual wants someone present or an interpreter is needed or the individual cannot get to the office. The interview questions are read by the interviewer and the answers are recorded on the questionnaire forms. Not all survey questions are applicable for every person, and not all people will answer all of the questions in their interviews. On a few occasions, it will be clear that the person has difficulty with the questions and cannot complete the interview. Should this happen, the interviewer may switch to the picture version of the survey, or the interview will be respectfully terminated and the responses will not be included in the survey analysis.

SURVEY ANALYSIS

Initially survey data is entered onto Microsoft ExcelTM worksheets and then analysis is performed using standard SPSSTM statistical software (PASW Version 20). The charts appearing in these reports are generated using Excel (Microsoft Office 2010) to format output from the SPSS analysis.

On completion of the interview sessions, the completed Demographic Survey and Consumer Interview questionnaires are returned to the project coordinator for entry into Excel worksheets. Each participant is identified by agency and a survey number; no personally identifying information is entered into this database. The worksheets are then submitted to the Evaluator for conversion to SPSS format and analysis. Janet Bramley, the Evaluator for this project, has been connected with the Consumer Survey Project first as an interviewer and later as an evaluator since 1995.

The analysis of responses to the two survey instruments involves two stages. In the first instance, simple descriptive cross-tabulation statistics are used to generate data for the tables in Sections 2 (Interview responses) and Section 3 (Demographic Survey findings) of this Report.

The second stage involves grouping responses to the Consumer Survey into life satisfaction domains. Table 4.2 below indicates which questions were used for each of these domains. The valid responses to these questions are a high value of 2 (positive response) through a low value of 0 (negative response). The values for 'Not Applicable', 'Refused' or 'Unclear/unknown' are excluded from the analysis. For each domain the mean of the valid

responses to the indicated questions is divided by 2 and multiplied by 100 to give a percentage satisfaction rate. These percentage rates are then further analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) procedures to determine whether there were significant differences between different groups of people (Domain Satisfaction, page 6, and pages 12-13)

Table 4.2 – Survey Questions Used for Generating Domain Satisfaction Scores*

Domain	Survey Questions used for Domain Scores
Residential	q1, q3, q4, q9, q10, q11, q12, q14a, q15, q16, q18, q19, q21, q22, q23, q25, q26, q28, q46, q48, q49, q50, q51, q52, q53, q56, q57
Residential Autonomy	q2, q5, q6, q7, q13, q17, q20, q24, q27, q29, q30, q31, q32, q33, q34, q35, q36, q37, q38, q41, q42, q45, q47, q54, q55
Neighborhood	q58, q59, q60, q61, q62
Work	q66, q67, q68, q69, q70, q71, q72, q73, q74, q75a, q76, q77, q78, q79
Day Program	q80, q81, q82, q83, q84, q85, q86a, q87, q88, q89, q90, q91, q92, q93, q94, q95, q96, q97a, q98, q99, q100, q101
Social Support	q102, q103, q104, q105, q106, q107, q108, q109, q110, q111, q112, q113
Activities	q114yes, q115yes, q116yes, q117yes, q118yes, q119yes, q120yes,q114no, q115no, q116no, q117no, q118no, q119no, q120no
Guardian	q122, q123, q124, q125, q126
Community Services	q137, q138, q139, q140, q141, q142a, q143, q144, q145, q146, q147, q148, q150, q151, q152, q153a, q154
Self Determination and Rights	q127, q134, q149, q155, q156, q158, q159, q160

*Notes:

- 1. The Self Determination/Rights scale was added in 2004 and is based on questions added to the survey in response to feedback from advocates.
- 2. The Health Satisfaction scale from surveys prior to 2004 is no longer examined as those questions were removed from the survey in 2004. However, full demographic information on Healthcare and Lifestyle scale is shown in Tables 3.9 and 3.10.
- 3. Questions used for the Activities scale since 2008 offer three response options instead of the two response options in previous surveys.